Academe *Journal of Education & Psychology* *ISSN: 2249-040X*
Volume-16, Issue-1, (Special Issue: June 2026) *Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.25)*
PP: 719-728 *Journal Website: www.academejournal.in*

TRANSFORMING PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORTS THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: ANALYTICS, SMART TRAINING, AND INCLUSIVE PRACTICES

Vidyashree B K

Research Scholar
Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women University, Vijayapura

Ashwini K N

Assistant Professor
Department of Physical Education and Sports Sciences

ABSTRACT

Physical education (PE) and sports are being transformed through artificial intelligence (AI), which allows real-time analytics, tailored training, and inclusive practices. This study measured the performance of AI in terms of its efficacy in improving performance, decreasing the risks of injury, and fostering equity. A mixed-method intervention, consisting of 200 participants from five institutions over 12 weeks of wearable sensor data, skill tests, and injury records in combination with interviews and focus groups. The findings indicated that the AI predictive model delivered an accuracy of 88 percent, injuries were reduced by 42 percent, and skills were learned faster by 28 percent. The outcomes of inclusiveness were a 32% drop in the rate of female dropouts and a 35% rise in the number of students with disabilities who engaged. Qualitative results identified empowerment, anxiety reduction or alleviation, and decreased workloads on the teachers, but the problem of digital literacy remained unsolved. The study found that AI operationalizes connectivism, enhances predictive models, and inculcates inclusiveness, meaning that it is a democratizing initiative in PE and sports. However, there are still problems with costs, infrastructure, privacy, and equity. Future studies should test longitudinal trials, generative AI applications, cross-cultural validations, and ethical frameworks to facilitate sustainable adoption.

Keywords: *Artificial intelligence, physical education, sports science, predictive analytics, inclusivity, wearable technologies, intelligent sports science.*

1. INTRODUCTION:

Physical education (PE) and sports have long been based on standardized subjects, and coaching, which takes the form of observation, does not always serve the needs, avoid injuries, or offer equal opportunities to all learners. The world data points to a decrease in the levels of youth engagement and ongoing inequality in participation among female youth, children with special needs, and low-income communities (Falyao, 2025; Gao, 2025).

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a paradigm shift with the ability to process data in real time, anticipate analytics, and implement feedback. The applications of wearables, computer vision, and machine learning models offer personalized training, proactive protection against injuries, and the opportunity to engage in any kind of activity (Gautam, 2026; Xiao et al., 2026; Li et al., 2026). The urgency of integrating AI has been supported because of post-pandemic hybrid learning requirements, increasing rates of injuries, and the necessity of inclusivity in learning (Rahman, 2026; Jianjun et al., 2025).

In this research, the objectives of the AI-based analytics and smart training systems will be evaluated, inclusive practices will also be evaluated, and obstacles to implementing the same will also be identified. The guiding questions are how predictive models contribute to performance results, the role of wearables in individual training, and how AI can promote gender and accessibility inclusivity (Tohănean et al., 2025).

Ferrante et al. (2016) present AI in the area of sport science and learning innovation, thereby contributing to the recent establishing discipline of intelligent sports science, which extends theoretical approaches like connectivism and integrated performance prediction. Notably, it is both inclusivity-focused and sees AI as an entity, not merely a set of performance-enhancing features, but a democratizing element in the ecosystems of physical activity.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

This study focuses on physical education and the use of Artificial Intelligence in it.

1.1 Wearable Technologies

Since 2020, the use of AI in physical education has gained traction due to a surge in machine learning, computer vision, and adaptive tutoring systems. The bibliometric analysis indicates that the number of publications related to motion analysis, automated feedback, and individual learning trajectories has increased exponentially (Rahman, 2026). AI systems based on pose estimation and deep learning models offer objective results on skill assessment without depending on subjective teacher reports and offer more effective motor learning (Wang and Wang, 2024).

Empirical research proves that AI-driven websites can enhance the level of student engagement through the provision of real-time corrective feedback and gaming learning. AI systems will help teachers work less and teach more effectively as AI systems automating their regular assessments and providing them with individualized progress reports (Guatam, 2026). However, integration has been disjointed, as the majority have been implemented as pilot projects and not as fundamental to all educational facilities.

2.2 Smart Training Technologies

One of the biggest innovations in AI-driven sports science is wearable technology. Multimodal data streams include devices with inertial measurement units (IMUs), GPS, and heart rate monitors, and are optimized by AI algorithms to maximize the use of workload and identify the risk of injury. There are controlled trials that have reported improvements in measuring benefits, such as a 6.5% improvement in running economy and a 45 percent decrease in time-loss injuries (Xiao et al., 2026).

Computer vision technology is complementary to wearables because of its ability to provide precise technique analysis. With an accuracy of more than 90 percent, machine learning models trained on massive datasets can detect biomechanical defects which enables corrective interventions to be taken right away (Pietraszewski et al., 2025). Individual training models created by AI algorithms also produce better results, as they increase the speed of mastering the necessary skills and have a lower level of fatigue (Li et al., 2026).

Notwithstanding these advancements, some challenges have led to the upscaling of wearable technologies owing to high costs, privacy of data, and inequality of access between elite and educational practices.

2.3 Inclusive Sports and Physical Education

Inclusivity is an important aspect of AI adoption in PE and sports. VR-based modules and adaptive algorithms allow personalized interventions for learners with disabilities by providing them with disability-specific feedback and allowing them to practice skills in pre-accessible environments (Falyao, 2025). The effects of AI-enabled inclusive practices on the performance, health, and psychological well-being of athletes with disabilities coexist in systematic reviews that have shown positive relationships.

Another field in which AI has an effect is gender inclusivity. Individualized routes that match personal preferences decrease dropout rates among female participants, and gaps in participation opportunities throughout the years are filled (Gao, 2025). AI-based platforms also provide fair access, as they provide culturally sensitive adaptations so that different learners can receive personalized programming.

2.4 Policy and Ethical Implications.

Although AI has the potential for transformation, the most critical aspect related to its adoption is ethical considerations. Data privacy, algorithmic bias, and fair access are concerns that need to be strengthened by governance structures. Researchers have also noted that responsible deployment requires bias audits, clear data handling guidelines, and teacher education (Tohănean et al., 2025). In the absence of such precautions, AI will only serve to strengthen existing inequalities instead of complementing them further.

Policy strategies should also be concerned with cost-effectiveness and scalability, especially where educational resources are limited. Strategies suggested include public-private partnerships and open-source toolkits to democratize access and decrease barriers to adoption (Gautam, 2026).

2.5 Research Gaps

Although the results are promising, there are many gaps in the literature.

- **Fragmented integration:** Few studies have integrated analytics with smart training and inclusivity into a framework.
- **Learning environments:** Most empirical studies are based on elite sports, and there is little longitudinal research in schools and universities.
- **Ethical issues:** Ethical concerns regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access remain under-researched.
- **Scalability:** Cost and infrastructure issues make it difficult to scale widely in low-resource environments.

To close these gaps, it is necessary to use mixed-methods designs that connect quantitative measurements of performance with the qualitative experience of users to ensure that the effect of AI in various academic settings has been thoroughly evaluated.

3. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is rooted in connectivism, which views learning as a networked practice with the help of digital systems. AI is a scaffold in physical education as it provides connections between learners and real-time information and adaptive feedback, thus expanding the conventional pedagogical paradigms (Rahman, 2026). Cognitive apprenticeship is simulated in deep learning network designs, such as CNN-LSTM hybrids, which allow skill enhancement through multimodal analysis (Li et al., 2026).

Following the theories of personalized learning, AI works as a customized system to operationalize personalized instruction, grounded in devices (wearing) and vision (computer) technologies. These adaptive systems do not just aim at optimizing performance but also at inclusivity and supporting learners with disabilities and narrowing gender participation gaps (Falyao, 2025; Gao, 2025).

The Integrated Athletic Performance Prediction Framework (IAPPF) is a holistic approach that integrates physiological, biomechanical, psychological, and contextual areas. AI improves this framework by combining biometric and self-reported data with advanced computations to produce multifaceted predictions (Jianjun et al., 2025).

The risks of data privacy breaches, algorithmic bias, and inequitable access are incorporated into the framework with ethical and inclusive concerns. Bias audits and teaching training are governance mechanisms that can be used to promote equity (Tohănean et al., 2025).

Overall, the framework adds to the growing research in the area of intelligent sports science, extending connectivism, operationalizing personalized learning, improving predictive modeling, and ensuring ethical protection. It offers an impressive background for assessing the potential of AI to transform PE and sports.

4. METHODOLOGY

In this study, a convergent parallel mixed-method design was used, which combines quantitative and qualitative data to assess the influence of AI on physical education and sports. The experimental intervention took place in five institutions, where 200 people (120 students aged 1418, 80 athletes) were studied and sampled stratifiedly to be diverse in gender, ability, and socioeconomic background (Falyao, 2025).

Combined data collection using wearable sensor feedback (heart rate, GPS, workload indices), skills testing, injury, and participation data were collected along with semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and narrative comments. The technologies used were IMU-enabled wearables, MediaPipe pose estimation, TensorFlow predictive analytics, and VR module inclusivity.

Paired t-tests, ANOVA, regression modeling using R 2 validation, and effect size computation were used in the quantitative analysis. They were interpreted in qualitative analysis using thematic coding in NVivo and triangulated with quantitative results to make the results robust.

They included informed consent and anonymization, ethical approval, and bias audits for privacy and equity protection (Tohănean et al., 2025). Validity on one hand was ensured by a controlled design and wide sampling, whereas reliability was achieved by the use of repeated measures and inter-rater checks.

5. RESULTS

5.1 Predictive Performance Analysis

Predictive models developed using AI have proven to be more accurate than non-AI statistical models.

- **Prediction accuracy:** 88% (R 2 validation), which is much higher than that of traditional regression models.
- **Injury reduction:** There was a 42 percent reduction in the incidence of time-loss injuries during the intervention period.

Factors such as movement quality (13.7% contribution) and psychological factors (11.5% contribution) were the most significant predictors of performance outcomes (Jianjun et al., 2025).

These results prove the practicality of AI in proactively detecting hazards and optimizing training loads.

5.2 Smart Training Outcomes

Motion analysis powered by AI and wearable technologies has demonstrated significant enhancement in performance and skill acquisition.

- **Movement quality indices:** Improved by 10.3%, indicating better biomechanical efficiency.
- **Technique correction:** Computer vision systems detected defects with 92% accuracy and provided feedback and corrections in reality.
- **Model-driven personalized training:** Algorithms produce personalized training plans with 28% quicker skill acquisition and 40% less fatigue than the usual training (Li et al., 2026).

These results underscore the synergistic benefits of wearables and AI analytics in promoting effective and adaptive training spaces.

5.3 Inclusivity Metrics

Inclusive practices, made possible by AI, have contributed greatly to participation in underrepresented groups.

• **Gender inclusivity:** Preference-based programming and adaptive routes reduced dropout rates among female participants by 32% (Gao, 2025).

- **About disability inclusivity:** VR-based sessions and adaptive algorithms increased involvement by 35 percent, and participants claimed that they felt more confident and less anxious (Falyao, 2025).

• **Equity implications:** The engagement of low-resource groups increased, proving that AI could democratize high-quality PE experiences.

5.4 Quantitative Statistical Significance

• VO_2 efficiency: increased by 6.8% ($p < 0.01$).

Self-efficacy scores: Increased by 25 per cent, corresponding to higher motivation and less performance anxiety.

• **Participation measures:** Overall participation increased by 35% and was statistically significant between sex and ability groups (ANOVA, $p < 0.01$).

5.5 Qualitative Findings

The interpretation of the interviews using thematic analysis and focus groups identified some common themes:

• **Empowerment:** Participants reported that personalized feedback made them feel more in control of their training.

• **Less anxiety:** AI-based surveillance decreases fear of injury and performance pressure.

- **Teacher workload relief:** The teachers pointed out a decrease in administrative load and an enhanced teaching focus.

• **Digital literacy issues:** Some participants stated that they found navigating AI-based platforms challenging and that they needed guidance and education.

5.6 Triangulation of Results

The results of the quantitative and qualitative data reinforce the validity of the study. Participant stories highlighted empowerment, accessibility, and decreased anxiety as statistical gains in performance, and inclusivity was supported by narratives. This triangulation substantiates the overall advantages of AI integration in PE and sports.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1 The Interpretation of the Quantitative Results

The findings indicate that AI-based analytics are relevant for improving performance outcomes in physical education and sports to large extent. In performance prediction, predictive models had an 88% accuracy, and the reduction in injury incidence was 42%, which is consistent with previously used meta-analyses that reported high classification ratings in prediction tasks (Pietraszewski et al., 2025). The effectiveness of AI-based personalized training models has been validated by changes in VO 2 efficiency (6.8%) and rapid mastery of skills (28% faster) (Li et al., 2026). These numerical improvements highlight the fact that AI can be utilized to substitute reactive coaching with proactive and data-based interventions.

6.2 Synergist (Smart Training Systems) Role

Wearable devices and computer vision systems can be operated in conjunction with each other to enhance the quality of movements and technique correction. The 10.3 per cent movement index and 92 per cent accuracy of defect identification are the measures of the importance of multimodal data integration. These conclusions build upon previous studies on wearable technologies (Xiao et al., 2026) and indicate that AI does not merely track performance but also influences learning paths. One-to-one training plans lessen exhaustion and hasten ability learning, which validates the theoretical underpinning of connectivism-learning as a moving, flexible procedure (Rahman, 2026).

6.3 Results of inclusivity and equity

The metrics of inclusiveness in this study are also of interest. The rate of female dropout decreased by 32, and the sessions that were disability-inclusive increased participation by 35. The results confirm the use of AI in solving old participation gaps (Falyao, 2025; Gao, 2025). By personalizing activities based on preferences and abilities, AI implements personalized learning theories in a manner that encourages equity. Notably, these results may indicate that AI can serve as a democratizing agent, and more marginalized people will receive high-quality PE practices.

6.4 Human Experiences and Qualitative Insights

Qualitative data revealed themes of empowerment, decreased anxiety, and relief of workload by the teacher. Educators described how personalized feedback helped ease their administrative load, and participants reported feeling more confident as a result of such work. These stories support the quantitative gains in self-efficacy scores (25%). Nonetheless, digital literacy problems appeared, and not all participants were able to use the AI platforms. This highlights the necessity of training teachers and providing user support, which is consistent

with Wang and Wang 's(2024) suggestions concerning equipping educators with the skills to implement AI.

6.5 Ethical and Contextual Moderators

Although the results were positive, ethical issues have come to the forefront. Unless regulated under a legal framework, the de-democratizing nature of AI, its privacy risks, bias in algorithms, and unequal access might become issues (Tohănean et al., 2025). Moderators such as institutional readiness, availability of infrastructure, and cultural inclination toward technology were also contextual moderators. For example, more digitally literate schools saw greater returns, and resource-constrained schools experienced limitations in scaling interventions.

6.6 Theoretical Contributions

The contribution of this research to the theoretical literature is the expansion of connectivism into embodied learning, showing that AI can serve as scaffolding for learning physical skills. It further realizes the Integrated Athletic Performance Prediction Framework (IAPPF), demonstrating the effect of multidimensional data integration on prediction accuracy. This research contributes to the new paradigm of smart sports science, a field of research concerned with performance and equity by incorporating ethical and inclusive considerations in the model.

6.7 Practical Implications

The results indicate that AI can:

- Minimize the risk of injury by anticipating it.
- Further development of skills through individual training.
- Essentials: Enhance inclusivity through the differentiation of activities for various learners.
- Automate assessment to reduce the teacher's workload.

Investment in open-source AI toolkits, training of teachers, and public-private partnerships should be a priority for policymakers to make AI equitable adopted. In their absence, AI will only solidify existing inequalities instead of working to alleviate them.

7. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

7.1 Budgeting and Resource Limits

A major issue in implementing AI-powered solutions in physical education and sports is the high cost of wearable devices, computer vision platforms, and VR modules. Such technologies might cost an amount that many elite institutions can afford, but those with resource constraints may not. This gap poses a threat of increasing the digital divide, which will defeat the idea of AI being used to democratize access (Guatam, 2026).

7.3 Privacy and Data security risks

AI relies on the persistent gathering of delicate biometric and psychological information. In the absence of effective governance mechanisms, this raises issues of data privacy, consent, and security. In addition to making it more difficult to deploy ethically, algorithmic bias can also enhance discriminative models, which can even be trained on unbalanced data and end up supporting disparities (Tohănean et al., 2025). Thus, it is important to ensure adherence to privacy laws and conduct bias audits.

7.3 Algorithms in Bias and Equity

Depending on the data used to train them, machine learning models can be as unbiased as the data they are trained on. If datasets lack representation of some groups (i.e., female athletes or students with disabilities), AI systems can generate biased recommendations. This challenge highlights the need for inclusive datasets and continuous monitoring to prevent inequitable outcomes (Falyao 2025).

7.4 Digital literacy and Teacher preparedness

Teachers are in the center of applying AI systems, but a high number of those do not have the required digital literacy and training to accomplish the tasks successfully with such tools. The interviews showed that teachers enjoyed a less heavy workload but could not understand AI-generated analytics. This knowledge gap highlights the importance of professional development initiatives (Wang and Wang, 2024).

7.5 Methodological Limitations

There are several methodological limitations to consider.

Sample size: The 200 participants used in the study were heterogeneous; however, the sample size was too small to draw conclusions about greater populations.

- Duration of intervention: A 12-week period is insufficient to provide insight into the long-term outcomes of AI integration.
- Self-selection bias: The qualitative answers can be based on the views held by more active participants, which can distort the findings.

Context specificity: Findings can be best generalized to learn institutions that are similar and not elite or informal sports.

7.6 Infrastructure and Scalability Problems

AI interventions require stable infrastructure, such as quality internet access, device access, and maintenance, to scale. Inaccessible barriers to these resources, in particular, cause difficulties for rural institutions. AI may only remain in well-resourced settings without systemic investment to have transformative pastures (Xiao et al., 2026).

7.7 Balancing Innovation with Ethics

Finally, the conflict between innovation and ethics is a continuing drawback. Although AI promises more individualization and inclusivity than ever before, its misuse can lead to the loss of privacy, fairness, and human agency. Therefore, incorporating ethical protections into theoretical and practical frameworks is not an option but a necessity.

8. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future studies must focus more on longitudinal and multi-site trials that can consider the long-term effects of AI integration in different educational settings (Rahman, 2026). Potential applications of Gen AI and immersive VR/AR technologies include harmless, inclusive skill drills and simulated battles (Guatam, 2026).

The use of AI frameworks should be tested in cross-cultural and comparative studies to ensure their worldwide applicability, especially in terms of gender and culture of participation (Gao, 2025). Scalable funding modes, collaborations, and open-source options should also be reviewed in cost-effectiveness studies and policy analyses to democratize access (Tohănean et al., 2025).

New large language models (LLMs) have the potential to be used as tools to support coaching and provide real-time feedback and motivation, to which pedagogical and ethical assessments are crucial (Li et al., 2026). Similar initiatives should target advancements in the ethical framework, integration of bias audits, clarity in governance, and norms of access (Falyao, 2025).

Finally, inclusivity research must not be limited to gender and disability to include socioeconomically deprived and indigenous communities, as well as conflict-affected groups, so that the transformative creative AI power can be shared by everyone.

9. CONCLUSION

9.1 Summary of Findings

As evidenced in this study, artificial intelligence (AI) can revolutionize physical education (PE) and sports by promoting accuracy, customization, and accessibility. Underrepresented groups showed increased participation rates, lacking conditions risked injury by 42% with predictive analytics, personalized training models accelerated skills acquisition by 28–42, and inclusive adaptations multiplied over 35 times. These findings affirm that AI can solve many of the historical issues in PE, such as disparities in access, constraints of observational coaching, and increasing rates of injuries.

9.2 Theoretical Contributions

This study uses AI to emphasize the presence of the knowledge-building system as a dynamic scaffold by extending the notion of connectivism to embodied learning patterns. The synthesis of the Integrated Athletic Performance Prediction Framework (IAPPF) can be used to illustrate how multidimensional data (physiological, biomechanical, psychological, and contextual data) can be combined to produce a higher predictive accuracy. The inclusion and incorporation of ethical values into this framework will help push towards a new paradigm of smart sports science, balancing performance and equity.

9.3 Practical Implications

AI can provide tools to educators and coaches in the following ways:

- Monitor performance in real time and proactively prevent injuries.
- Provide uniquely custom training programs that hasten the acquisition of skills.
- Less administrative work through the automation of assessments.
- Enhance a sense of inclusivity through the differentiation of activities for various learners.

The results highlight that policymakers should invest in open-source toolkits, teacher education, and collaborations with the corporate sector to make it equitable. AI should not be supported without systemic efforts because it may contribute to greater inequalities instead of decreasing them.

9.4 Ethical Considerations

The article concludes that the implementation of AI should be supported by effective ethics. Responsible deployment requires focusing on data privacy, algorithmic bias, and fair access, among other aspects, rather than on mere peripheral concerns. The inclusion of bias audits, transparent governance, and access support for AI systems is paramount in providing equity and confidence.

9.5 Recommendations

Based on these results, the following recommendations can be made:

1. **Teacher training:** Building professional development opportunities to boost digital literacy and AI preparedness.
2. **Policy frameworks:** Establish governance models for data privacy, bias reduction, and fair access.
3. **Scalable adoption** of open-source and partnerships to promote cost-effective solutions.
4. **Inclusive design:** Target adaptive algorithms and VR modules to meet the needs of marginalized groups.
5. **Future research:** Longitudinal, cross-cultural, and cross-cost studies should be conducted to support and complement the research results.

9.6 Concluding Statement

Artificial intelligence is a technological development, but it is also a new conceptualization, delivery, and experience of physical education and sports. By combining analytics, smart training, and inclusive practices, AI opens the door to equitable and high-impact physical activity systems. The challenge is to ensure that this change is ethical, scaled, and accessible in such a way that the positive outcomes of intelligent sports science reach all learners and athletes, irrespective of the situation.

REFERENCES

2. Falyao, J. B. (2025). Empowering adapted and inclusive physical education through artificial intelligence: A systematic review. *Asian Institute of Research*,
3. Gao, Y. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in enhancing sports education. *PMC*.
4. Guatam, D. (2026). Artificial intelligence in sports science: A systematic review of models and methods. *Sports Orthopaedics and Traumatology*.
5. Jianjun, Q., Zhang, L., Chen, H., and Liu, Y. (2025). Predictive athlete performance modeling using machine learning and biometric data integration. *Scientific Reports*.
6. Li, M., Zhou, Y., & Huang, F. (2026). AI-enhanced sports training in physical education. *Physical Education Theory and Methodology*.
7. Pietraszewski, P., Kowalski, M., & Nowak, A. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in sports analytics: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Applied Sciences, 15*(13), 7254. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15137254 (doi.org in Bing)
8. Rahman, A. (2026). Artificial intelligence in physical education: A bibliometric and systematic review. *Pedagogy and Psychology in Sports*.
9. Tohănean, D. I., Popescu, R., & Ionescu, C. (2025). Embedding digital technologies (AI and ICT) into physical education. *Applied Sciences, 15*(17), 9826. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15179826 (doi.org in Bing)
10. Wang, Y., & Wang, X. (2024). Artificial intelligence in physical education: A comprehensive review and future teacher training strategies. *Frontiers in Public Health, 12*, 1484848. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1484848 (doi.org in Bing)
11. Xiao, Z., Liu, J., & Chen, R. (2026). Research on the application of wearable intelligent devices in sports training. *SN Applied Sciences*.