Academe *Journal of Education & Psychology* *ISSN: 2249-040X*
Volume-16, Issue-1, (Special Issue: June 2026) *Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 6.25)*
PP: 698-706 *Journal Website: www.academejournal.in*

HARNESSING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR MARKETING INNOVATION: A BEHAVIORAL INTENTION PERSPECTIVE THROUGH THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS

Vanitha B

Associate Professor
Department of Commerce, GFGC, Channapatna

ABSTRACT

The accelerating adoption of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across commercial sectors has fundamentally reordered the methods through which organizations plan, execute, and evaluate their marketing activities. This conceptual paper examines the phenomenon of AI-driven marketing innovation by situating it within the domain of behavioral intention research. Drawing on four seminal theoretical frameworks — the Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Social Cognitive Theory (SCT), and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) — the study constructs an integrated conceptual lens through which the adoption of AI-enabled marketing tools can be systematically analyzed. The investigation considers how individual cognitive appraisals, social normative pressures, perceived functional benefits, and technological accessibility collectively shape the readiness of both marketers and consumers to engage with AI-powered systems. By synthesizing insights across these complementary frameworks, this paper advances a multidimensional understanding of AI adoption behavior in marketing and lays the conceptual groundwork for future empirical inquiry and organizational strategy.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Marketing Innovation, Behavioral Intention, Technology Adoption, Consumer Behavior

1. INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation of global commerce has placed Artificial Intelligence at the center of strategic marketing discourse. No longer confined to the realm of computational research, AI has evolved into an operational cornerstone for organizations seeking to refine how they understand, reach, and retain their customers. Contemporary marketing — once defined by relatively static segmentation models and broadly targeted campaigns — has been reconceived as a dynamic, data-rich discipline powered by machine-generated insights, real-time behavioral analysis, and intelligent automation. Scholars have consistently documented AI's capacity to sharpen segmentation accuracy, individualize content delivery, and calibrate the timing and placement of advertising with a precision that was previously unattainable (Re & Solow-Niederman, 2019).

The scope of AI's disruption extends well beyond any single industry. From clinical diagnostics and predictive maintenance in manufacturing to fraud detection in financial services and demand forecasting in retail, AI has reconfigured the operational logic of diverse sectors. Within the marketing function specifically, this technological wave has prompted practitioners to fundamentally rethink the architecture of customer engagement. Researchers such as Khaleel et al. (2023) have noted that AI is no longer a peripheral tool but a core driver of innovation-led growth in market-facing functions.

At a conceptual level, AI refers to the design and deployment of computational systems capable of simulating and extending forms of cognition that have historically required human intelligence. This includes, but is not limited to, natural language processing (Torfi et al., 2021), computer vision, robotic process automation, and various branches of machine learning (Chaveesuk et al., 2021; Rowe, 2019). A defining characteristic of these systems is their capacity to continuously improve their outputs through iterative exposure to data, thereby enabling progressively more accurate and context-sensitive decision-making (Bharadiya, 2023). Subfields such as deep learning, neural networks, and reinforcement learning represent the technical vanguard of this broader AI ecosystem (Akata et al., 2020; Hassani et al., 2020).

Among AI's most consequential contributions to marketing is its elevation of predictive and analytical capability (Alghamdi & Al-Baity, 2022). Marketing teams are increasingly deploying AI-enabled analytics platforms to distill structured and unstructured consumer data into actionable strategy. These systems surface latent behavioral trends, identify emergent consumer preferences, and generate empirically grounded recommendations with a speed and scale that far exceed traditional analytical methods (Fountaine et al., 2019; Elgendy et al., 2022). By modeling consumer transaction histories, contextual interactions, and psychographic signals, AI enables marketers to anticipate behavior and personalize outreach in ways that feel both timely and relevant (Parihar, 2021).

The implications for digital advertising are equally significant. AI-driven bidding mechanisms and audience-targeting algorithms allow marketers to direct messages toward highly defined consumer segments at precisely the moments when engagement probability is highest, thereby improving conversion efficiency and return on advertising investment (Gao et al., 2023). These systems synthesize behavioral, demographic, and contextual data to produce granular user profiles, which in turn support the delivery of advertising experiences that are perceived as genuinely relevant rather than intrusive.

From a broader strategic vantage point, AI operates as both an enabler of operational efficiency and an amplifier of marketing creativity. Intelligent conversational systems — including chatbots, virtual assistants, and recommendation engines — have materially raised the bar for customer service quality by enabling real-time, context-aware brand interactions that build loyalty through consistency and personalization. At the same time, AI's automation of repetitive marketing tasks, such as lead scoring, email sequencing, and content scheduling, liberates marketing professionals to invest their cognitive capacities in higher-order strategic and creative work, producing campaigns that are more differentiated, resonant, and enduring in their impact.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The scholarly conversation surrounding AI in marketing has grown substantially over the past decade, reflecting both the technological maturation of AI systems and their increasingly visible impact on marketing practice. Dwivedi et al. (2021) position contemporary marketing at the intersection of intelligent automation and data-driven decision-making, arguing that AI is fundamentally restructuring competitive dynamics and the nature of customer engagement. This transformation is not merely incremental but reflects a structural shift in how value is created and communicated within commercial ecosystems.

Soni et al. (2019) situate AI as a catalyst for research-led innovation across domains, noting its distinctive capacity to surface non-obvious patterns within complex datasets and to generate insights that inform both product and market strategy. In customer-facing applications, these capabilities manifest as enhanced personalization, more efficient service delivery, and the anticipatory intelligence that allows organizations to respond to customer needs before they are explicitly articulated. The ability to model demand, forecast behavior, and automate engagement creates compounding value across the marketing value chain.

The COVID-19 pandemic provided an inadvertent stress test for AI's strategic relevance, revealing its potential to support rapid institutional decision-making in conditions of high uncertainty. As Naudé (2020) documents, the crisis accelerated AI deployment across healthcare, public administration, and supply chain management, while simultaneously exposing the ethical and governance challenges inherent in large-scale AI systems. The experience underscored both the promise and the complexity of embedding AI into consequential decision-making processes.

Within the domain of AI research itself, the emergence of Explainable AI (XAI) reflects growing recognition that algorithmic transparency is not merely a technical objective but a social and ethical imperative. As organizations deploy increasingly sophisticated models to inform marketing and business decisions, the opacity of these systems creates accountability gaps that can erode consumer trust and regulatory confidence. Vilone and Longo (2020) synthesize the XAI literature across four methodological categories — surveys, conceptual frameworks, technical contributions, and evaluation studies — providing a structured account of how the field is addressing the interpretability challenge.

The broader context of Industry 4.0 has further amplified AI's relevance. Peres et al. (2020) highlight how the convergence of interconnected digital systems, exponential data growth, and globally integrated supply chains creates both the need and the opportunity for AI-driven process intelligence. Predictive maintenance, real-time quality control, and intelligent logistics optimization represent practical instantiations of AI's capacity to navigate industrial complexity with agility and foresight.

Yulianto (2021) contributes a knowledge management perspective, examining how the interplay between externally acquired knowledge and internally developed insights drives organizational responsiveness and innovation. His research reveals that effectively managing knowledge flows across organizational boundaries enhances the quality and velocity of product and marketing innovation, ultimately strengthening competitive positioning and resilience in turbulent markets.

Wright et al. (2019) define big data as an informational phenomenon that overwhelms conventional processing and storage architectures, characterized by four defining properties: volume, velocity, variety, and integration. Their analysis underscores the growing organizational imperative to build data infrastructure and analytical competencies capable of extracting strategic value from the vast and varied data streams that AI systems both generate and depend upon. The relationship between big data and AI is thus fundamentally symbiotic, with each amplifying the strategic value of the other.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

This study is oriented around three principal investigative aims. First, it seeks to develop a conceptually grounded understanding of AI-driven marketing innovation by synthesizing current scholarly perspectives on the intersection of artificial intelligence and marketing strategy. Second, it aims to explain the role of behavioral intention in shaping technology adoption decisions, with specific reference to the TRA, TAM, SCT, and UTAUT frameworks as applied to AI-enabled marketing contexts. Third, the paper undertakes a structured review of the existing literature to identify dominant themes, emergent research directions, and conceptual gaps that merit further investigation in future empirical studies.

4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS GOVERNING MARKETING INNOVATION

The theoretical architecture underpinning this paper draws on four complementary models, each of which illuminates a distinct dimension of the behavioral dynamics surrounding technology adoption in marketing. Together, these frameworks provide a multidimensional account of how cognitive, social, and environmental factors converge to shape individual and organizational readiness to engage with AI-powered tools and strategies.

4.1 Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA)

Developed by Fishbein and Ajzen, the Theory of Reasoned Action posits that human behavior is fundamentally volitional and is most proximately predicted by behavioral intention — a construct that is itself a product of attitudinal evaluations and subjective normative perceptions. Within the context of AI adoption in marketing, TRA provides a framework for understanding how the interplay between individual evaluative beliefs and perceived social expectations shapes the decision to adopt or resist new technological practices (Soni et al., 2019).

Attitudinal components within TRA encompass an individual's holistic appraisal of a behavior, including their assessment of its likely consequences and the personal significance they assign to those outcomes. When applied to AI-driven marketing tools, this translates into questions about whether marketers perceive AI as genuinely improving campaign performance, enhancing customer relevance, or delivering measurable competitive advantage. Subjective norms, by contrast, capture the degree to which individuals perceive that significant others — colleagues, industry peers, organizational leadership — expect or endorse a particular behavior. In fast-evolving technological environments, these normative pressures can be powerful motivators, particularly when early adopters are visibly rewarded for their innovation. TRA therefore offers marketers actionable insight into the belief structures and social dynamics that must be addressed to cultivate a receptive organizational climate for AI adoption.

4.2 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model, originally formulated by Davis, provides a focused theoretical account of the conditions under which individuals choose to adopt new technologies. TAM identifies two core predictors of adoption behavior: perceived usefulness, which captures an individual's belief that a given technology will enhance their task performance or outcomes, and perceived ease of use, which reflects the degree to which a user anticipates that interacting with the technology will be cognitively undemanding (Lee et al., 2019).

In the context of AI-powered marketing tools, perceived usefulness is likely to be shaped by tangible demonstrations of performance improvement — evidence that AI-driven segmentation produces higher conversion rates, that predictive analytics generates more accurate demand forecasts, or that automated content personalization increases customer engagement. Marketers who observe these outcomes firsthand or encounter credible evidence from analogous contexts are more likely to form strong positive utility beliefs, which in turn support adoption intentions. Perceived ease of use is equally important, particularly given the technical complexity of many AI systems. Organizations that invest in intuitive interface design, accessible training resources, and responsive user support effectively reduce the perceived cognitive burden of adoption, thereby lowering a significant adoption barrier. As Pillai et al. (2022) observe, simplifying the operational experience of AI tools is a critical lever for accelerating adoption among marketing professionals who may lack deep technical backgrounds.

4.3 Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)

Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory situates learning and behavioral motivation within a triadic interactive framework involving personal cognitive factors, observed behaviors, and environmental conditions. For the study of AI adoption in marketing, SCT offers a particularly rich theoretical lens by drawing attention to the roles of observational learning, self-efficacy, and outcome expectancy in shaping adoption behavior (Ng & Lucianetti, 2016).

Observational learning suggests that marketers are significantly influenced by witnessing the experiences of peers and comparator organizations. When professionals observe colleagues or competitors successfully deploying AI to achieve meaningful marketing outcomes — improved targeting precision, enhanced customer satisfaction, or reduced campaign costs — these observed successes serve as powerful behavioral models that increase adoption motivation. Marketers can harness this dynamic deliberately by curating case studies, facilitating peer learning, and creating visible platforms for sharing AI success stories across professional networks (Soni et al., 2020).

Self-efficacy, another central construct in SCT, refers to an individual's confidence in their capacity to execute a specific task or course of action. In the domain of AI adoption, self-efficacy concerns whether marketing professionals feel equipped to learn, operate, and leverage AI tools effectively. Organizations that invest systematically in capability building — through structured training programs, mentorship, practical simulation, and ongoing technical support — can meaningfully strengthen self-efficacy beliefs among their marketing teams. Higher self-efficacy not only increases adoption likelihood but also supports more persistent and innovative use of AI capabilities over time. Outcome expectancies — beliefs about the likely results of adopting AI — further modulate behavioral intention, with positive expectations regarding efficiency gains, customer experience improvements, and competitive differentiation serving as important motivating forces.

4.4 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)

The Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology, developed by Venkatesh and colleagues, represents a synthesizing contribution to the technology adoption literature, integrating constructs from eight predecessor models into a coherent and empirically validated framework. UTAUT has demonstrated robust explanatory power across diverse technological and organizational contexts, making it particularly well-suited to the analysis of AI adoption in marketing innovation (Brock & Wangenheim, 2019). The theory identifies four primary determinants of adoption behavior:

Performance Expectancy refers to the degree to which an individual believes that using a particular technology will enhance their effectiveness or the quality of their outcomes. In marketing contexts, this translates to expectations about whether AI tools will generate superior campaign performance, more accurate consumer insights, or faster strategic responses. Marketers who hold strong performance expectancies are significantly more likely to champion AI adoption and allocate resources toward its implementation.

Effort Expectancy captures perceptions of the complexity and cognitive demands associated with using a technology. Systems that are perceived as accessible, operationally straightforward, and well-integrated into existing workflows encounter less adoption resistance. Vendors and organizations that design AI solutions with user experience at the forefront can significantly improve effort expectancy and, by extension, adoption rates.

Social Influence reflects the extent to which an individual perceives that important others — professional peers, supervisors, industry thought leaders, or social media networks — endorse the use of a technology. Marketers who observe widespread adoption of AI tools within their professional communities, or who receive encouragement from leadership, are more susceptible to normative adoption pressures. Social proof mechanisms, influencer endorsements, and visible organizational commitment to AI are therefore meaningful levers for shaping social influence perceptions.

Facilitating Conditions encompass the organizational infrastructure, technical resources, and institutional support that enable effective technology use. Even when individual-level adoption intentions are strong, adoption may falter in the absence of adequate tools, training, technical assistance, and strategic guidance. Organizations committed to AI-driven marketing innovation must therefore invest not only in the technologies themselves but in the enabling conditions that allow those technologies to be used confidently and productively.

5. CONCLUSION

The integration of artificial intelligence into marketing practice represents one of the most consequential technological developments in the recent history of commercial strategy. This paper has explored this transformation from the vantage point of behavioral intention, employing four well-established theoretical frameworks — TRA, TAM, SCT, and UTAUT — to construct a nuanced and multidimensional account of how individuals and organizations navigate the decision to adopt AI-enabled marketing tools.

A central insight emerging from this analysis is that AI adoption is not determined by technological capability alone. The willingness of marketers and consumers to engage with AI-powered systems is shaped by a complex and interacting set of cognitive, social, and environmental forces. TRA highlights the formative role of attitudinal beliefs and normative expectations, reminding us that even technically superior tools will encounter adoption resistance if they are perceived negatively or lack social endorsement. TAM extends this understanding by foregrounding the functional dimensions of the adoption calculus — specifically, whether users believe the technology will make them more effective and whether they anticipate being able to use it without undue difficulty.

SCT adds a developmental dimension, underscoring the importance of observed learning and personal capability confidence in building sustained adoption momentum. The implication for organizations is clear: building an AI-capable marketing workforce requires sustained investment in capability development, experiential learning, and the creation of visible success narratives that inspire emulation. UTAUT integrates these and related factors into a comprehensive explanatory structure that captures the full complexity of adoption dynamics as they unfold in real organizational environments.

The literature review undertaken in this paper further establishes that AI in marketing is fundamentally about augmenting human capacity rather than supplanting it. Predictive analytics, intelligent personalization, automated service delivery, and AI-assisted content creation all serve to enhance the quality and efficiency of marketing practice while freeing professionals to focus on the strategic and creative dimensions of their work. At the same time, the literature candidly acknowledges that the path to AI adoption is not without friction. Data privacy concerns, algorithmic opacity, ethical ambiguities, and organizational resistance represent substantive challenges that must be addressed with intention and rigor if AI's potential in marketing is to be responsibly realized.

The role of organizational context — encompassing leadership vision, cultural openness to experimentation, and the quality of enabling infrastructure — emerges as a critical mediating factor. Facilitating conditions, as framed within UTAUT, are not peripheral but central to the translation of individual adoption intention into effective organizational capability. Technology investment without complementary investment in people, processes, and governance frameworks is insufficient.

From a practical perspective, organizations seeking to leverage AI for competitive marketing advantage should pursue a holistic and integrated approach. This entails designing AI systems that are both powerful and accessible, cultivating professional environments that support ongoing learning and experimentation, establishing ethical and governance frameworks that build stakeholder trust, and ensuring that AI implementation is guided by clearly articulated strategic objectives. Marketers should be positioned not as passive users of AI outputs but as active collaborators who contribute contextual judgment, creative insight, and ethical discernment to human-AI decision-making partnerships.

In summary, the advancement of AI-driven marketing innovation is as much a challenge of human behavior and organizational culture as it is a question of technological sophistication. The theoretical frameworks examined in this paper provide a structured and evidence-informed foundation for understanding what it takes to successfully integrate AI into marketing practice. Future research that moves from conceptual synthesis to empirical investigation — testing these relationships across diverse industries, cultural settings, and organizational forms — will be essential to building the cumulative knowledge base that both researchers and practitioners need to navigate this rapidly evolving domain with confidence and purpose.

REFERENCES

1. Alghamdi, A., & Al-Baity, H. (2022). Artificial intelligence applications in marketing: A literature review. Journal of Computer Science, 18(1), 1–15.
2. Akata, Z., Balliet, D., De Rijke, M., Dignum, F., Dignum, V., Eiben, G., & Welling, M. (2020). A research agenda for hybrid intelligence: Augmenting human intellect with collaborative, adaptive, responsible, and explainable artificial intelligence. Computer, 53(8), 18–28.
3. Bharadiya, J. P. (2023). Machine learning and AI in business intelligence: Trends and opportunities. International Journal of Computer (IJC), 48(1), 123–134.
4. Brock, J. K. U., & Wangenheim, F. (2019). Demystifying AI: What digital transformation leaders can teach you about realistic artificial intelligence. California Management Review, 61(4), 110–134.
5. Chaveesuk, S., Khalid, B., & Chaiyasoonthorn, W. (2021). Artificial intelligence in marketing decisions: A systematic review of literature. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1145/3481127.3481167
6. Cao, G., Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Understanding managers' attitudes and behavioral intentions towards using artificial intelligence for organizational decision-making. Technovation, 106, 102312. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102312
7. Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 57, 101994.

8. Elgendy, N., Elragal, A., & Papadopoulos, T. (2022). MIDAS: A framework for data science, big data analytics, and smart data. Sustainability, 14(1), 1–24.
9. Fountaine, T., McCarthy, B., & Saleh, T. (2019). Building the AI-powered organization. Harvard Business Review, 97(4), 62–73.
10. Gao, B., Wang, Y., Xie, H., Hu, Y., & Hu, Y. (2023). Artificial intelligence in advertising: Advancements, challenges, and ethical considerations in targeting, personalization, content creation, and ad optimization. Sage Open, 13(4), 21582440231210759. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231210759
11. Hassani, H., Huang, X., & Silva, E. (2020). Big-crypto: Big data, blockchain, and cryptocurrency. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 2(4), 34.
12. Khaleel, M., Shah, S. S. A., Irshad, M., Khalil, W., & Asghar, M. (2023). Effect of artificial intelligence on marketing innovation and SMEs performance through mediating role of big data analysis. Heliyon, 9(3), e13606.
13. Lee, C. T., Pan, L. Y., & Hsieh, S. H. (2019). Connecting the dots between technology readiness, TAM, and satisfaction: Evidence from mobile applications. Journal of Service Management, 30(4), 529–556.
14. Naudé, W. (2020). Artificial intelligence vs COVID-19: Limitations, constraints and pitfalls. AI & Society, 35(3), 761–765.
15. Ng, T. W. H., & Lucianetti, L. (2016). Within-individual increases in innovative behavior and creative, persuasion, and change self-efficacy over time: A social-cognitive theory perspective. Journal of Applied Psychology, 101(1), 14–34.
16. Parihar, V. (2021). Comparison estimation of effective consumer future preferences with the application of AI. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 10, 133–145.
17. Peres, R. S., Jia, X., Lee, J., Sun, K., Colombo, A. W., & Barata, J. (2020). Industrial artificial intelligence in industry 4.0: Systematic review, challenges and outlook. IEEE Access, 8, 220121–220139.
18. Pillai, R., Sivathanu, B., Mariani, M., Rana, N. P., Yang, B., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2022). Adoption of AI-empowered industrial robots in auto component manufacturing companies. Production Planning & Control, 33(6–7), 569–584.
19. Re, A., & Solow-Niederman, A. (2019). Developing artificially intelligent justice. Stanford Technology Law Review, 22(2), 242.
20. Rowe, F. (2019). Being critical is good, but better with philosophy! From digital transformation and values to the philosophy of IS research. European Journal of Information Systems, 28(3), 380–393.
21. Sadriwala, M. F. (2025). A study of marketers' perspective of adoption of artificial intelligence in marketing innovation (Doctoral dissertation, Sir Padampat Singhania University).
22. Soni, N., Sharma, E. K., Singh, N., & Kapoor, A. (2019). Impact of artificial intelligence on businesses: From research, innovation, market deployment to future shifts in business models (arXiv:1905.02092). arXiv. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1905.02092

23. Soni, N., Sharma, E. K., Singh, N., & Kapoor, A. (2020). Artificial intelligence in business: From research and innovation to market deployment. Procedia Computer Science, 167, 2200–2210.
24. Torfi, A., Shirvani, R. A., Keneshloo, Y., Tavaf, N., & Fox, E. A. (2021). Natural language processing advancements by deep learning: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.01200.
25. Vilone, G., & Longo, L. (2020). Explainable artificial intelligence: A systematic review. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.00093.
26. Wright, L. T., Robin, R., Stone, M., & Aravopoulou, D. E. (2019). Adoption of big data technology for innovation in B2B marketing. Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing, 26(3–4), 281–293.
27. Yulianto, E. (2021). The role of inbound and outbound open innovation on firm performance in environmental turbulence era: Mediating of product and marketing innovation. Management Science Letters, 11(9), 2347–2358.