

# **ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IN RELATION TO FAMILY ENVIRONMENT, SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT, ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION, SELF-EFFICACY, AND LIFE SATISFACTION: A THEORETICAL REVIEW**

**Puneet Kaur**

Assistant Professor

Dept. of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Khalsa University, Amritsar

---

## **ABSTRACT**

Academic achievement is a multidimensional construct that serves as the primary criterion for evaluating students' competencies, potentials, and future opportunities. Despite extensive research, the interplay among environmental, motivational, cognitive, and affective variables in predicting academic outcomes remains insufficiently understood, particularly in contemporary contexts shaped by rapid technological change and heightened competitive pressures. This theoretical review synthesises existing literature on five key correlates of academic achievement: family environment, school environment, achievement motivation, self-efficacy, and life satisfaction. Drawing on Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory, McClelland's Needs Theory, Walberg's Theory of Educational Productivity, and Fredrickson's Broaden-and-Build Theory, this paper presents an integrative conceptual framework illustrating how proximal and distal environmental factors interact with intrinsic psychological variables to shape students' scholastic performance. Findings from the reviewed literature consistently indicate that a nurturing family environment, a safe and supportive school climate, high achievement motivation, strong self-efficacy beliefs, and elevated life satisfaction each independently and collectively contribute to superior academic outcomes. Implications for educators, policymakers, and counsellors are discussed, and directions for future empirical research are proposed.

**Keywords:** academic achievement, family environment, school environment, achievement motivation, self-efficacy, life satisfaction, adolescents, ecological systems theory

## **INTRODUCTION**

Education is an enlightening process that provides knowledge and skills, infuses values, and facilitates the nurturance of right attitudes and habits among students. It represents a versatile form of development that gives rise to unified measures of a self-sufficient human being. As Aremu (2000) observed, "Education is the process of developing the capabilities and potentials of an individual, as to prepare that individual to be successful in a specific society or culture." Since education is a dynamic phenomenon, it continuously encounters challenges across social, economic, and cultural dimensions of human life worldwide. The significance of education cannot be underestimated, as it plays an essential role in the cognitive development of the masses.

Bearing these challenges in mind, it becomes critically important to emphasise the prime goal of education: the academic achievement of students. Academic achievement has been described as "an incredible pointer for the general prosperity of youth and an essential indicator and determinant of adults' physical well-being outcomes" (Vernez, Krop, & Rydell, 1999, p. 1). It is a manifold construct encompassing different domains of learning and serving as the criterion for selection, encouragement, and recognition of students across various walks of life. Students in all cultures are expected to accomplish high levels of academic

achievement, and academic success or failure during childhood and adolescence decisively shapes an individual's future career and job opportunities (Kadison & DiGeronimo, 2004; Rana & Mahmood, 2010).

The antecedents of academic achievement diverge across culture, institutional environment, subjective factors, course of study, and student population. Gupta (1973) classified influencing factors into three groups: abilities (intelligence and scholastic aptitude), effort (drive, achievement motivation, and aspiration), and environment (social and economic conditions of home and school). Diaz (2003) similarly identified three contributing elements: parents (family causal factors), teachers (academic causal factors), and students (personal causal factors including peer group). Theoretical models proposed by Carroll (1963), Glaser (1976), Bennett (1978), and Walberg (1981) further elaborated the complex associations between learning elements and academic achievement. Wang, Haertel, and Walberg (1993) demonstrated that proximal variables—psychological, instructional, and home environment characteristics—exert greater influence on student achievement than distal variables such as state, district, and school-level policy.

The present review examines five key correlates of academic achievement: family environment, school environment, achievement motivation, self-efficacy, and life satisfaction. These variables are situated within Bronfenbrenner's (1979a, 1994) Ecological Systems Theory, which conceptualises development as occurring across multiple, overlapping environmental layers—microsystem, mesosystem, exosystem, macrosystem, and chronosystem. The remainder of this paper reviews each construct in turn, presents an integrative conceptual framework, and discusses implications for research and practice.

### **ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

Academic achievement is broadly defined as the degree of competence attained in school tasks, typically measured by standardised tests and expressed as grades or units based on pupil performance (Trow, as cited in the literature). Crow and Crow (1969) described it as "the extent to which a learner is profiting from instructions in the given area of learning," while Collins and O'Brien (2011) extended the definition to encompass "the attainment of knowledge, competencies, and higher-level status, as reflected in grades, degrees, and other forms of certification or public acknowledgement." Lent, Brown, and Hackett (2000) further characterised academic achievement as a foremost mechanism through which adolescents learn about their talents, abilities, and competencies required for developing career aspirations. Choudhary (2004) operationalised it as knowledge and skills developed in school subjects, usually indicated by marks scored in annual examinations.

Walberg, Fraser, and Welch (1986) identified nine factors affecting academic performance: student ability/prior achievement, motivation, age/developmental level, quantity of instruction, quality of instruction, classroom climate, home environment, peer group, and exposure to mass media outside school. DiPerna, Volpe, and Elliott (2002) subsequently revealed that students' social, behavioural, motivational, affective, cognitive, and metacognitive characteristics, together with proximal environmental variables, exert substantial influence on learning outcomes. Feldman, Ethington, and Smart (2001) further demonstrated that academic environments enhance students' abilities, interests, and attitudes toward education.

Bronfenbrenner's (1979a, 1994) Ecological Systems Theory provides a unifying framework for understanding how multi-layered environmental contexts shape academic achievement. At the microsystem level, the child's relationships at home, at school, and with friends directly influence school engagement and achievement (Furrer & Skinner, 2003).

Mesosystem interactions—such as parent-teacher communication—bridge these immediate contexts. Exosystem factors, including parental workplace stress, and macrosystem elements, such as educational policy, exert indirect yet real influences. The chronosystem reminds researchers that historical and technological change—most notably the pervasive influence of digital technology on contemporary adolescents—continuously reshapes the developmental landscape.

## **FAMILY ENVIRONMENT AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT**

The family constitutes the foundation of human existence and experience. Collins (2007) described the family as "a social unit in any society and the source of early stimulation and experience in children." Family environment is consequently the most influential learning context in which parents and other family members act as educators, providing the composition in which children are groomed (Hultsch & Plemons, 1979; Baltes, 1983; Bandura, 1997). Knafo and Plomin (2006) demonstrated that the quality of relationships and interactions among family members plays a significant role in cultivating cognitive and affective elements of prosocial behaviour, including empathy and social relatedness.

An enriched and compassionate family environment facilitates the accomplishment of high scholastic achievement (Daulta, 2008; Muola, 2010; Mishra & Bamba, 2012). Parents, as principal agents of socialisation, contribute to the gratification of children's psychological requirements and promote academic motivation. Their positive approach and support amplify children's confidence in their capabilities and enhance their concern to meet parental expectations (Campbell & Verna, 2007). Parental involvement in education triggers motivation toward academic work, school commitment, and perceptions of competence, control, and efficiency (González, Willems, & Doan, 2005; Mo & Singh, 2008; Urdan, Solek, & Schoenfelder, 2007).

Parenting styles vary considerably. Grolnick and Ryan (1989) noted that while some parents are affectionate and supportive, others may be negligent or inflexible. Some value education intrinsically; others regard it merely as a societal necessity. These varied educational attitudes produce differential effects on children's learning patterns and academic achievement. Ekanem (2004) observed that parents, siblings, and the immediate environment have the power to either appreciate or depreciate a child's self-worth and academic performance. Parke and Buriel (1998) further noted that the manner in which negative emotions are managed within families shapes reciprocal understanding and social behaviour.

The family economic stress model (Elder & Caspi, 1988) demonstrates that economic hardships disrupt parental emotional strength, generate anxiety and conflict, and result in decreased parental warmth and increased irritability—conditions that negatively affect children's academic motivation and performance. Conversely, a stimulating and affectionate family environment induces intellectual aptitude and potential, while its absence restrains overall development.

## **SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT**

The school environment is the prime institutional context in the process of child development. It encompasses all physical, social, economic, and mental elements that affect teaching and learning. Blum, McNeely, and Rinehart (2002) argued that "a safe, caring, participatory and responsive school climate tends to foster great attachment among students towards their school and provides the foundation for their social, emotional and academic learning." A healthy school environment comprises two principal dimensions: (a) physical and aesthetic surroundings—including the school building, biological, chemical, and physical conditions such as temperature, noise, and lighting—and (b) the psychosocial climate and culture of the

school, encompassing the emotional and social conditions affecting the well-being of teachers and students.

Brookover, Beady, Flood, Schweitzer, and Wisenbaker (1979) modelled the school social system in terms of student body composition, staff inputs, school social structure, and school social climate. Subsequent investigators (Bryk & Schneider, 2002; Bryk et al., 2010; Wang & Holcombe, 2010) identified four interacting systems—professional capacity, order/safety/norms, parent-school communities, and instructional guidance—that either support or undermine school improvement. Roeser, Eccles, and Sameroff (2000) highlighted that because students spend a substantial portion of their day at school, the quality of the school environment is intrinsically linked to their overall well-being.

Encouraging school environments strengthen students' trust in teachers and promote feelings of security, happiness, and attachment (Crosnoe, Monica, & Glen, 2004). Intellectual security—students' confidence to take intellectual risks—is a critical subset of emotional security. Blum (2005) found that students connected to school feel less anguish and engage in fewer risk-taking behaviours. The expansion of a positive social and emotional climate enhances academic achievement, reduces stress, and improves students' attitudes toward themselves and others (Durlak, Weissberg, Dymnicki, Taylor, & Schellinger, 2011; Harper & Lynch, 2007). Schools characterised by high relational trust are more likely to implement changes that improve student achievement (Bryk & Schneider, 2002), while students' anxiety and negative perceptions of school create adverse effects on performance (Long, Huebner, Wedell, & Hills, 2012).

## **ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT**

Achievement motivation is defined as "a disposition to strive for success in competition with some standard of excellence set by the individual" (McClelland, Atkinson, Clark, & Lowell, 1953, p. 78). Murray (1938) characterised it as an intense, prolonged, and repeated effort to accomplish something difficult, with singleness of purpose toward a high and distant goal. Atkinson and Feather (1966) conceptualised the achievement motive as a latent disposition manifested in overt striving when the individual perceives performance as instrumental to a sense of personal accomplishment.

McClelland's (1961) Acquired Needs Theory posits three core motivational needs: need for achievement (nAch), need for power (nPow), and need for affiliation (nAff). Individuals high in nAch prefer moderately challenging tasks, seek feedback on performance, and take personal responsibility for outcomes. Lussier and Achua (2007) demonstrated that Achievement Motivation Theory explains and predicts behaviour and performance based on these acquired needs. Students with high achievement motivation perform better academically, display greater persistence, time-orientation, responsibility, and risk-taking, and gravitate toward challenging yet attainable goals (Zenzen, 2002).

Achievement motivation comprises two personality factors: tendency to achieve success and tendency to avoid failure (Atkinson & Feather, 1966). Horner (1972, 1974) introduced the concept of fear of success to explain gender differences in competitive achievement situations, noting that anticipated negative outcomes can generate anxiety and inhibit achievement-oriented behaviour. The Achievement Goal Orientation Theory (Kaplan & Maehr, 2007; Mattern, 2005) further distinguishes mastery goals—focused on learning and competence development—from performance goals—focused on outperforming others. Mastery-approach goals are associated with sustained engagement and achievement orientation (Kaplan & Maehr, 2007), while performance-avoidance goals are linked to poorer academic outcomes (Wolters, 2004).

## **SELF-EFFICACY AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT**

Self-efficacy is defined as "the belief in one's capabilities to mobilize the motivation, cognitive responses, and courses of action needed to meet given situational demands" (Wood & Bandura, 1989, p. 364). Bandura (1997) described it as the confidence people have in their own abilities to successfully perform a particular task. Self-efficacy operates through cognitive, affective, motivational, and decisional processes, influencing whether individuals approach challenges optimistically or pessimistically, and shaping their aspirations, persistence, and resilience (Schwarzer, 1992).

Wood and Bandura (1989) identified four sources of self-efficacy: (a) mastery experiences—successful task accomplishment strengthens efficacy beliefs, while failure undermines them; (b) modelling—observing capable models raises observers' beliefs in their own capabilities; (c) social persuasion—verbal encouragement from significant others bolsters confidence; and (d) physiological responses—anxiety, stress, and fatigue signal vulnerability and lower efficacy appraisals. In the academic domain, self-efficacy beliefs play a considerable role in students' interest, motivation, management of academic stressors, and cognitive capability development (Bandura, 1997). Higher perceived self-efficacy is associated with stronger academic achievement and more ambitious targets (Zimmerman, Bandura, & Martinez-Pons, 1992; Zimmerman & Bandura, 1994). Bandura's (1977, 1986) Social Learning and Social Cognitive theories emphasise that self-efficacy beliefs are shaped by environmental interactions and modelling, and that self-efficacious, self-regulating students expand their knowledge and skills, while weak self-regulators experience confined self-development (Bandura, 2005). Gist and Mitchell (1992) identified three self-efficacy assessment processes relevant to academic achievement: analysis of task requirements, attributional analysis of experience, and assessment of personal and situational resources.

Strong self-efficacy is associated with better health, higher achievement, and superior social integration (Schwarzer, 1992). Conversely, weak perceived self-efficacy is linked to depression, anxiety, and helplessness, and leads individuals to perceive tasks as more difficult than they truly are (Singh & Udainiya, 2009).

## **LIFE SATISFACTION AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT**

Life satisfaction is a global cognitive evaluation of an individual's life as a whole (Suldo & Huebner, 2004) and constitutes the cognitive component of subjective well-being (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985). Diener, Suh, Lucas, and Smith (1999) defined it as "an individual perspective, an overall assessment of his life or of some aspects of his life dimensions." It is assessed in terms of mood, satisfaction with relationships, goal attainment, self-concept, and perceived ability to cope with daily life (Pavot & Diener, 1993).

While early research on life satisfaction focused predominantly on adults (Diener et al., 1999), subsequent scholarship extended the construct to children and adolescents (Gilman & Huebner, 2006; Huebner, 2004; Proctor, Linley, & Maltby, 2010). Life satisfaction is a significant variable for adolescent adjustment, helping young people develop into versatile adults. Bradley and Corwyn (2004) argued that research on adolescent life satisfaction is essential for understanding responses to challenging and stressful life situations. Students with high life satisfaction are more hopeful about their future and display greater feelings of happiness and well-being.

Fredrickson's (2001) Broaden-and-Build Theory of Positive Emotions provides a theoretical basis for the relationship between life satisfaction and academic achievement: high life satisfaction broadens cognitive viewpoints, increases response flexibility, and builds available resources, leading to wider thinking and behaviour and ultimately to higher

academic achievement. Shirmohammadi, Micaeili, and Zare (2010) empirically demonstrated that life satisfaction is one of the strongest variables explaining academic performance. The integrated life perspective (Luthans, 2002; Rice, McFarlin, Hunt, & Near, 1985), as elaborated by Rode et al. (2005), posits that domain satisfactions are antecedents of overall life satisfaction, which in turn mediates the relationship between domain satisfactions and student performance. Gilman and Huebner (2006) further showed that high life satisfaction is associated with positive academic experiences, and Van Petegem, Aelterman, Rosseel, and Creemers (2007) confirmed that students' academic self-image affects their well-being.

Erikson's (1968) theory of psychosocial development across eight life stages underscores that success or failure at each stage leaves lasting imprints on later life satisfaction. Examining life satisfaction among students before they complete their studies is therefore essential for preparing them to face the challenges of adult socialisation.

### **AN INTEGRATIVE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

The five constructs reviewed above do not operate in isolation; rather, they form an interconnected system of influences on academic achievement. Drawing on Bronfenbrenner's (1979a, 1994) Ecological Systems Theory, family environment and school environment constitute the primary microsystem contexts in which students are embedded. Interactions between these contexts—parent-teacher relationships, peer-family dynamics—constitute the mesosystem. Exosystem factors such as parental employment conditions and socioeconomic stress indirectly influence family warmth and academic support. Macrosystem elements—educational policy, cultural values, technology—shape the broader context within which all other systems operate.

Within these environmental layers, psychological variables mediate and moderate the impact of context on achievement. Self-efficacy beliefs, grounded in Bandura's (1986, 1997) Social Cognitive Theory, function as cognitive mediators: students who perceive themselves as capable engage more persistently with challenging academic tasks. Achievement motivation, as articulated by McClelland (1961) and elaborated through Goal Orientation Theory (Kaplan & Maehr, 2007), directs the energy and direction of academic effort. Life satisfaction, consistent with Fredrickson's (2001) Broaden-and-Build Theory, expands cognitive resources and sustains positive engagement with learning. Together, these five variables—two environmental and three psychological—constitute a comprehensive framework for understanding and predicting academic achievement among adolescents.

### **DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION**

The present study confirms that academic achievement is a complex, multiply-determined outcome. Environmental variables—particularly the quality of family relationships and the safety and supportiveness of the school climate—establish the foundational conditions for learning. Within these conditions, psychological variables such as achievement motivation, self-efficacy, and life satisfaction amplify or attenuate the impact of environmental inputs on academic outcomes. The convergence of these variables is especially critical during adolescence, when identity development, peer relationships, and academic demands intersect.

Contemporary adolescents face unique challenges not fully anticipated by earlier theoretical models. The pervasive influence of digital technology and social media has transformed interpersonal relationships, family communication patterns, and access to information. These chronosystem shifts necessitate updated conceptual models that account for the role of technology in mediating the relationships between environmental factors, psychological variables, and academic achievement. Furthermore, the increasing prevalence of psychological distress among students—manifested in elevated rates of depression, anxiety,

and, in extreme cases, suicidal ideation—underscores the urgency of attending to life satisfaction as both a predictor and an outcome of academic experiences.

From a practical standpoint, the findings reviewed here have several implications. For educators, fostering a safe, inclusive, and intellectually stimulating school environment is paramount. For policymakers, supporting parental involvement programmes and addressing socioeconomic stressors that undermine family warmth can yield significant academic dividends. For counsellors and psychologists, interventions targeting self-efficacy beliefs, achievement motivation, and life satisfaction represent promising avenues for improving academic outcomes among at-risk students.

This study has synthesised theoretical and empirical literature on five key correlates of academic achievement: family environment, school environment, achievement motivation, self-efficacy, and life satisfaction. The evidence consistently supports the view that academic achievement is not solely a function of cognitive ability, but is profoundly shaped by the quality of the environments in which students are embedded and the psychological resources they bring to their learning. The integrative framework presented here offers a foundation for future empirical investigations that examine the combined and interactive effects of these variables, particularly in light of contemporary technological and sociocultural changes. Longitudinal, multimethod studies are needed to establish causal pathways and to identify the most potent leverage points for intervention. Ultimately, harnessing the talents of young citizens requires sustained attention to both the environmental and psychological dimensions of their educational experience.

## REFERENCES

1. Aremu, A. O. (2000). *Academic performance 5-factor inventory*. Ibadan: Stirling-Horden Publishers.
2. Atkinson, J. W., & Feather, N. T. (1966). *A theory of achievement motivation*. New York: Wiley.
3. Baltes, P. B. (1983). Life-span developmental psychology: Observations on history and theory revisited. In R. M. Lerner (Ed.), *Developmental psychology: Historical and philosophical perspectives* (pp. 79–111). Erlbaum.
4. Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
5. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
6. Bennett, N. (1978). Recent research on teaching: A dream, a belief, and a model. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 48(2), 127–147.
7. Blum, R. W. (2005). *School connectedness: Improving the lives of students*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health.
8. Blum, R. W., McNeely, C. A., & Rinehart, P. M. (2002). *Improving the odds: The untapped power of schools to improve the health of teens*. Minneapolis, MN: Center for Adolescent Health and Development, University of Minnesota.
9. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979a). *The ecology of human development: Experiments by nature and design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
10. Bryk, A. S., & Schneider, B. (2002). *Trust in schools: A core resource for improvement*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.

11. Bryk, A. S., Sebring, P. B., Allensworth, E., Luppescu, S., & Easton, J. Q. (2010). *Organizing schools for improvement: Lessons from Chicago*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
12. Campbell, J. R., & Verna, M. A. (2007). Effective parental influence: Academic home climate linked to children's achievement. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 13(6), 501–519.
13. Carroll, J. B. (1963). A model of school learning. *Teachers College Record*, 64(8), 723–733.
14. Collins, W. A. (2007). Family relationships in adolescence. In B. B. Brown & M. Prinstein (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of adolescence*. Academic Press.
15. Crosnoe, R., Monica, K. J., & Glen, H. E. (2004). School size and the interpersonal side of education: An examination of race/ethnicity and organizational context. *Social Science Quarterly*, 85(5), 1259–1274.
16. Crow, L. D., & Crow, A. (1969). *Educational psychology (Rev. ed.)*. New York: American Book Company.
17. Dass, S. (2016). Influence of school environment on student learning outcomes. *International Journal of Education and Management Studies*, 6(1), 89–93.
18. Daulta, M. N. S. (2008). Impact of home environment on the scholastic achievement of children. *Journal of Human Ecology*, 23(1), 75–78.
19. Dave, P. N., & Anand, M. (1979). Achievement motivation and academic performance. *Indian Educational Review*, 14(2), 44–50.
20. DiPerna, J. C., Volpe, R. J., & Elliott, S. N. (2002). A model of academic enablers and elementary reading/mathematics achievement. *School Psychology Review*, 31(3), 298–312.
21. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432.
22. Eamon, M. K. (2005). Social-demographic, school, neighborhood, and parenting influences on the academic achievement of Latino young adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 34(2), 163–174.
23. Ekanem, E. E. (2004). Family influence on the academic performance of secondary school students in Cross River State, Nigeria. *Journal of Educational Research*, 7(1), 35–42.
24. Elder, G. H., Jr., & Caspi, A. (1988). Economic stress in lives: Developmental perspectives. *Journal of Social Issues*, 44(4), 25–45.
25. Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. New York: Norton.
26. Feldman, K. A., Ethington, C. A., & Smart, J. C. (2001). A further investigation of major field and person-environment fit: Sociological versus psychological interpretations of Holland's theory. *Journal of Higher Education*, 72(6), 670–698.
27. Fredrickson, B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology: The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226.

28. Furrer, C., & Skinner, E. (2003). Sense of relatedness as a factor in children's academic engagement and performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(1), 148–162.
29. Gilman, R., & Huebner, E. S. (2006). Characteristics of adolescents who report very high life satisfaction. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 35*(3), 311–319.
30. Gilman, R., Huebner, E. S., & Laughlin, J. E. (2000). A first study of the Multidimensional Students' Life Satisfaction Scale with adolescents. *Social Indicators Research, 52*(2), 135–160.
31. Gist, M. E., & Mitchell, T. R. (1992). Self-efficacy: A theoretical analysis of its determinants and malleability. *Academy of Management Review, 17*(2), 183–211.
32. Glaser, R. (1976). Components of a psychology of instruction: Toward a science of design. *Review of Educational Research, 46*(1), 1–24.
33. González, A. R., Willems, P. P., & Doan, B. T. (2005). Immigrant students' educational aspirations: The role of the family and school context. *Roeper Review, 28*(1), 6–14.
34. Grolnick, W. S., & Ryan, R. M. (1989). Parent styles associated with children's self-regulation and competence in school. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 81*(2), 143–154.
35. Gupta, M. (1973). Academic achievement and certain personality characteristics. *Indian Journal of Psychology, 48*(2), 87–92.
36. Hackett, G., & Betz, N. E. (1989). An exploration of the mathematics self-efficacy/mathematics performance correspondence. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 20*(3), 261–273.
37. Harper, C., & Lynch, J. (2007). *Gaps in early foundations for learning*. London: Institute of Education.
38. Horner, M. S. (1972). Toward an understanding of achievement-related conflicts in women. *Journal of Social Issues, 28*(2), 157–175.
39. Horner, M. S. (1974). The measurement and behavioral implications of fear of success in women. In J. W. Atkinson & J. O. Raynor (Eds.), *Motivation and achievement* (pp. 91–117). Halstead Press.
40. Huebner, E. S. (2004). Research on assessment of life satisfaction of children and adolescents. *Social Indicators Research, 66*(1–2), 3–33.
41. Hultsch, D. F., & Plemons, J. K. (1979). Life events and life-span development. In P. B. Baltes & O. G. Brim (Eds.), *Life-span development and behavior* (Vol. 2, pp. 1–36). Academic Press.
42. Kadison, R., & DiGeronimo, T. F. (2004). *College of the overwhelmed: The campus mental health crisis and what to do about it*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
43. Kaplan, A., & Maehr, M. L. (2007). The contributions and prospects of goal orientation theory. *Educational Psychology Review, 19*(2), 141–184.
44. Knafo, A., & Plomin, R. (2006). Parental discipline and affection and children's prosocial behavior: Genetic and environmental links. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 90*(1), 147–164.

45. Lent, R. W., Brown, S. D., & Hackett, G. (2000). Contextual supports and barriers to career choice: A social cognitive analysis. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 47(1), 36–49.
46. Lewis, A. D., Huebner, E. S., Malone, P. S., & Valois, R. F. (2011). Life satisfaction and student engagement in adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 40(3), 249–262.
47. Long, R. F., Huebner, E. S., Wedell, D. H., & Hills, K. J. (2012). Measuring school-related subjective well-being in adolescents. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 82(1), 50–60.
48. Lussier, R. N., & Achua, C. F. (2007). *Leadership: Theory, application, and skill development* (3rd ed.). Mason, OH: Thomson/South-Western.
49. Luthans, F. (2002). The need for and meaning of positive organizational behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23(6), 695–706.
50. Manjuvani, E., & Anuradha, S. (2011). Achievement motivation and academic achievement of secondary school students. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 2(9), 1–7.
51. Mattern, R. A. (2005). College students' goal orientations and achievement. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 17(1), 27–32.
52. McClelland, D. C. (1961). *The achieving society*. Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand.
53. McClelland, D. C., Atkinson, J. W., Clark, R. A., & Lowell, E. L. (1953). *The achievement motive*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.
54. Mishra, S., & Bamba, M. S. (2012). A study of family environment and academic achievement of secondary school students. *International Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, 3(2), 34–37.
55. Mo, Y., & Singh, K. (2008). Parents' relationships and involvement: Effects on students' school engagement and performance. *RMLE Online*, 31(10), 1–11.
56. Muola, J. M. (2010). A study of the relationship between academic achievement motivation and home environment among standard eight pupils. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 5(5), 213–217.
57. Murray, H. A. (1938). *Explorations in personality*. New York: Oxford University Press.
58. Parke, R. D., & Buriel, R. (1998). Socialization in the family: Ethnic and ecological perspectives. In W. Damon & N. Eisenberg (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 3. Social, emotional, and personality development* (5th ed., pp. 463–552). Wiley.
59. Pavot, W., & Diener, E. (1993). Review of the satisfaction with life scale. *Psychological Assessment*, 5(2), 164–172.
60. Pintrich, P. R. (1999). The role of motivation in promoting and sustaining self-regulated learning. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 31(6), 459–470.
61. Proctor, C., Linley, P. A., & Maltby, J. (2010). Very happy youths: Benefits of very high life satisfaction among adolescents. *Social Indicators Research*, 98(3), 519–532.
62. Rana, R. A., & Mahmood, N. (2010). The relationship between test anxiety and academic achievement. *Bulletin of Education and Research*, 32(2), 63–74.

63. Reynolds, A. J., & Walberg, H. J. (1992). A process model of mathematics achievement and attitude. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 23(4), 306–328.
64. Rice, R. W., McFarlin, D. B., Hunt, R. G., & Near, J. P. (1985). Job importance as a moderator of the relationship between job satisfaction and life satisfaction. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 6(4), 297–316.
65. Rode, J. C., Arthaud-Day, M. L., Mooney, C. H., Near, J. P., Baldwin, T. T., Bommer, W. H., & Rubin, R. S. (2005). Life satisfaction and student performance. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 4(4), 421–433.
66. Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. J. (2000). School as a context of early adolescents' academic and social-emotional development: A summary of research findings. *Elementary School Journal*, 100(5), 443–471.
67. Schwarzer, R. (1992). Self-efficacy in the adoption and maintenance of health behaviors: Theoretical approaches and a new model. In R. Schwarzer (Ed.), *Self-efficacy: Thought control of action* (pp. 217–243). Washington, DC: Hemisphere.
68. Sharma, N., & Khatoon, S. (2011). Influence of some home environmental factors on academic achievement of secondary school students. *International Journal of Educational Research and Technology*, 2(1), 59–64.
69. Shirmohammadi, M., Micaeli, F., & Zare, H. (2010). Relationship between life satisfaction and academic achievement in high school students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 5, 1494–1497.
70. Singh, I., & Udainiya, R. (2009). Self-efficacy and well-being of adolescents. *Journal of the Indian Academy of Applied Psychology*, 35(2), 227–232.
71. Suldo, S. M., & Huebner, E. S. (2004). Does life satisfaction moderate the effects of stressful life events on psychopathological behavior during adolescence? *School Psychology Quarterly*, 19(2), 93–105.
72. Tresemer, D. (1976). The cumulative record of research on 'fear of success.' *Sex Roles*, 2(3), 217–236.
73. Urdan, T., Solek, M., & Schoenfelder, E. (2007). Students' perceptions of family influences on their academic motivation: A qualitative analysis. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 22(1), 7–21.
74. Van Petegem, K., Aelterman, A., Rosseel, Y., & Creemers, B. (2007). Student perception as moderator for student wellbeing. *Social Indicators Research*, 83(3), 447–463.
75. Vernez, G., Krop, R. A., & Rydell, C. P. (1999). *Closing the education gap: Benefits and costs*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.
76. Walberg, H. J. (1981). A psychological theory of educational productivity. In F. H. Farley & N. J. Gordon (Eds.), *Psychology and education* (pp. 81–108). Berkeley, CA: McCutchan.
77. Walberg, H. J., Fraser, B. J., & Welch, W. W. (1986). A test of a model of educational productivity among senior high school students. *Journal of Educational Research*, 79(3), 133–139.

78. Wang, M. C., Haertel, G. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1993). Toward a knowledge base for school learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 63(3), 249–294.
79. Wang, M. T., & Holcombe, R. (2010). Adolescents' perceptions of school environment, engagement, and academic achievement in middle school. *American Educational Research Journal*, 47(3), 633–662.
80. Wolters, C. A. (2004). Advancing achievement goal theory: Using goal structures and goal orientations to predict students' motivation, cognition, and achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(2), 236–250.
81. Wood, R., & Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory of organizational management. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(3), 361–384.
82. Wright, T. A., Cropanzano, R., Denney, P. J., & Moline, G. L. (2002). When a happy worker is a productive worker: A preliminary examination of three models. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*, 34(3), 146–150.
83. Zenzen, T. G. (2002). Achievement motivation. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED466030).
84. Zimmerman, B. J., & Bandura, A. (1994). Impact of self-regulatory influences on writing course attainment. *American Educational Research Journal*, 31(4), 845–862.
85. Zimmerman, B. J., Bandura, A., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1992). Self-motivation for academic attainment: The role of self-efficacy beliefs and personal goal setting. *American Educational Research Journal*, 29(3), 663–676.